

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/159,104	09/23/1998	FUMIO DENDA	981091	4736
38834 7	590 08/24/2004		EXAMINER	
	N, HATTORI, DANIE	PENDLETON, BRIAN T		
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2644	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V

				/			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
		09/159,104	DENDA, FUMIO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brian T. Pendleton	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 N	May 2004.					
•		s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1,4,16 and 17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4,16 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Information	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Application/Control Number: 09/159,104 Page 2

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4 and 17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant argues that Beller does not disclose a region attenuation processed sound whereby the region is a range above 7000 Hz and a range above 2000 Hz – 7000 Hz, however the claim reads differently now and requires a new application of the reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 4. Claims 1, 4 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Beller et al. In figure 1, Beller discloses an auditory training method and apparatus comprising an original sound Oe processed by audio frequency converter CAF. The audio frequency converter CAF

.

Application/Control Number: 09/159,104

Art Unit: 2644

varies the amplitude and frequency of the original sound to produce a parametric signal S. See column 3 line 34 – column 4 line 14. Column 11 lines 50-57, state that the parametric signal S is low-pass filtered using a capacitor to remove harmonics. Thus, an original sound is processed to form a region attenuated processed sound, the attenuation region being the high frequency range that includes voice harmonics. A low pass filter attenuates signals above a cutoff frequency. Notwithstanding the cutoff frequency of the low pass filter, there exists a region above 0 Hz which is attenuated since a low frequency signal is passed. That region is under 1800 Hz. Therefore, inherently, the low pass filter of Beller attenuates a first region under 1800 Hz. The claim calls for the region attenuation processed sound to be one or a combination of three regions, therefore having a region attenuation processed sound in the first region meets the limitation. As discussed in column 10 lines 63-67, the user alternatively hears the original sound and the parametric sound, thus the feature of alternating the original sound and processed sound is met and all of the claim limitations are met. As to claim 4, since the output signal S is parametric and varies according to the amplitude and frequency of the input signal Oe, the processed signal will have a varying frequency content and the frequencies filtered by the capacitor will change randomly. Regarding claim 17, column 11 lines 1-3 state that the period of alternating between the original sound and processed sound is based on phonemes, syllables or syntax elements, all of which are between .1 seconds and 7 seconds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Page 3

Art Unit: 2644

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beller in view of Merzenich et al. Beller teaches an auditory training system comprising alternating between processed and unprocessed sound. Beller does not specify that the interval between the processed and unprocessed (original) sound changes randomly. Merzenich et al discloses a listening training system whereby the interval between sounds heard by the user changes (decreases). Therefore it was already taught in the art of auditory training that varying the time between sounds to be interpreted by the user can increase their ability to comprehend the sounds over time. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to change the interval length between the original and parametric (processed) sound in the Beller invention.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Page 5

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (703) 305-9509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

3. 2. 2 ____

PRIMARY EXAMINER